Delaunay triangulations on hyperbolic surfaces

Iordan Iordanov Monique Teillaud

Astonishing Workshop
25 September 2017
Nancy, France

Outline

Outline

Motivation

Periodic triangulations in the Euclidean plane



Motivation

Periodic triangulations in the hyperbolic plane



Motivation

Applications

[Sausset, Tarjus, Viot]



[Chossat, Faye, Faugeras]



[Balazs, Voros]

Motivation

Beautiful groups

- Fuchsian groups
- finitely presented groups
- triangle groups
- ...



State of the art

Closed Euclidean manifolds

- Algorithms — 2D [Mazón, Recio], 3D [Dolbilin, Huson], *d*D [Caroli, Teillaud, DCG'16]
- Software (square/cubic flat torus) — 2D [Kruithof], 3D [Caroli, Teillaud]

Closed hyperbolic manifolds

- Algorithms — 2D, genus 2 [Bogdanov, Teillaud, Vegter, SoCG'16]
- Software (Bolza surface) — [Iordanov, Teillaud, SoCG'17]

Poincaré model of the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$



Hyperbolic translations



Hyperbolic translations

non-commutative!



Bolza surface

What is it?

- Closed, compact, orientable surface of genus 2.
- Constant negative curvature $\longrightarrow$ locally hyperbolic metric.
- The most symmetric of all genus-2 surfaces.

Bolza surface



Fuchsian group $\mathcal{G}$ with finite presentation

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\langle a, b, c, d \mid abcd\overline{a}\overline{b}\overline{c}\overline{d} \right\rangle$$

$\mathcal{G}$ contains only translations (and $\mathbb{1}$)

Bolza surface

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{H}^2/\mathcal{G}$$

with projection map $\pi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$

Bolza surface



Fuchsian group $\mathcal{G}$ with finite presentation

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\langle a, b, c, d \mid abcd\overline{a}\overline{b}\overline{c}\overline{d} \right\rangle$$

$\mathcal{G}$ contains only translations (and $\mathbb{1}$)

Bolza surface

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{H}^2 / \mathcal{G}$$

with projection map $\pi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathcal{M}$

$$\mathcal{A} = \left[a, \overline{b}, c, \overline{d}, \overline{a}, b, \overline{c}, d\right] = \left[g_0, g_1, ..., g_7\right]$$

$$g_k = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta_k \\ \overline{\beta}_k & \overline{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}, \quad g_k(z) = \frac{\alpha z + \beta_k}{\overline{\beta}_k z + \overline{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha = 1 + \sqrt{2}, \quad \beta_k = e^{ik\pi/4}\sqrt{2\alpha}$$

Bolza surface





Hyperbolic octagon



Voronoi diagram of $\mathcal{G}O$

Hyperbolic octagon



Fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}_O$ = Dirichlet region of O

Hyperbolic octagon



“Original” domain $\mathcal{D}$: contains exactly one point of each orbit

Criterion



Systole $\text{sys}(\mathcal{M})$ = minimum length of a non-contractible loop on $\mathcal{M}$

Criterion



Systole $\mathrm{sys}(\mathcal{M})$ = minimum length of a non-contractible loop on $\mathcal{M}$

$\pi_{\mathcal{M}}(\,DT_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{G}S)\,)$

Criterion



Systole $\mathrm{sys}(\mathcal{M})$ = minimum length of a non-contractible loop on $\mathcal{M}$

S set of points in $\mathbb{H}^2$

δ_S = diameter of largest disks in $\mathbb{H}^2$ not containing any point of $\mathcal{G}S$

$\delta_S < \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{sys}(\mathcal{M})$

$\Longrightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{M}}(\,DT_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{G}S)\,) = DT_{\mathcal{M}}(S)$
is a simplicial complex

$\Longrightarrow$ The usual incremental algorithm
can be used [Bowyer]

How can we satisfy $\delta_S < \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{sys}\,(\mathcal{M})$?

Two ways:

1. Covering spaces
   - effect: increase the systole
   - take copies of the fundamental domain with input points
   - $32 <$ number of sheets ≤ 128
   - new: $34 <$ number of sheets [Ebbens, 2017]

How can we satisfy $\delta_S < \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{sys}\,(\mathcal{M})$?

Two ways:

1. Covering spaces
   - effect: increase the systole
   - take copies of the fundamental domain with input points
   - $32 <$ number of sheets ≤ 128
   - new: 34 $<$ number of sheets [Ebbens, 2017]
2. Dummy points
   - effect: artificially satisfy the condition in the 1-cover
   - set of points given for the Bolza surface
   - more appealing computationally

How can we satisfy $\delta_S < \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{sys}\,(\mathcal{M})$?

Two ways:

1. Covering spaces
   - effect: increase the systole
   - take copies of the fundamental domain with input points
   - $32 <$ number of sheets ≤ 128
   - new: 34 < number of sheets [Ebbens, 2017]
2. Dummy points
   - effect: artificially satisfy the condition in the 1-cover
   - set of points given for the Bolza surface
   - more appealing computationally

We adopt the second approach.

Systole on the octagon



Set of dummy points



Set of dummy points vs. criterion



Delaunay triangulation of the dummy points



Delaunay triangulation of the Bolza surface

Algorithm:

1. initialize with dummy points
2. insert points in S
3. remove dummy points



Outline

Notation



$g(O),\ g \in \mathcal{G}$, denoted as g

$\mathcal{D}_g = g(\mathcal{D}_O),\ g \in \mathcal{G}$

$\mathcal{N} = \{g \in \mathcal{G} \mid \mathcal{D}_g \cap \mathcal{D}_O \neq \emptyset\}$

$$\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{g \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{D}_g$$

Property of $DT_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{G}S)$

$S \subset \mathcal{D}$ input point set
s.t. criterion $\delta_S < \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{sys}(\mathcal{M})$ holds

σ face of $DT_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{G}S)$ with at least one vertex in $\mathcal{D}$

$\longrightarrow$ σ is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{N}}$



Property of $DT_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{G}S)$

$S \subset \mathcal{D}$ input point set
s.t. criterion $\delta_S < \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{sys}(\mathcal{M})$ holds

σ face of $DT_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{G}S)$ with at least one vertex in $\mathcal{D}$
$\longrightarrow$ σ is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{N}}$



Canonical representative of a face

Each face of $DT_{\mathcal{M}}(S)$ has infinitely many pre-images in $DT_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{G}S)$



Canonical representative of a face

at least one pre-image with at least one vertex in $\mathcal{D}$



Canonical representative of a face

Case: face with 3 vertices in $\mathcal{D}$



Canonical representative of a face

Case: face with 3 vertices in $\mathcal{D}$



Canonical representative of a face

Case: face with 3 vertices in $\mathcal{D}$



Canonical representative of a face

Case: face with 2 vertices in $\mathcal{D}$



Canonical representative of a face

Case: face with 2 vertices in $\mathcal{D}$



Canonical representative of a face

Case: face with 2 vertices in $\mathcal{D}$



Canonical representative of a face

Case: face with 1 vertex in $\mathcal{D}$



Canonical representative of a face

Case: face with 1 vertex in $\mathcal{D}$



Canonical representative of a face



CGAL Triangulations



Face of $DT_{\mathcal{M}}(S)$



Face of $DT_{\mathcal{M}}(S)$



Point Location



Point Location



Point Location



Point Location



Point Insertion

“hole” = topological disk



Point Insertion

"hole" = topological disk



Point Insertion

Computations
on translations

Dehn's algorithm
(slightly modified)



Predicates

$$Orientation\,(p, q, r) = \text{sign}\begin{vmatrix} p_x & p_y & 1 \\ q_x & q_y & 1 \\ r_x & r_y & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$



$$InCircle\,(p, q, r, s) = \text{sign}\begin{vmatrix} p_x & p_y & p_x^2 + p_y^2 & 1 \\ q_x & q_y & q_x^2 + q_y^2 & 1 \\ r_x & r_y & r_x^2 + r_y^2 & 1 \\ s_x & s_y & s_x^2 + s_y^2 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$



Predicates

Suppose that the points in S are rational.

Input of the predicates can be images of these points under $\nu \in \mathcal{N}$.

$$g_k(z) = \frac{\alpha z + e^{ik\pi/4}\sqrt{2\alpha}}{e^{-ik\pi/4}\sqrt{2\alpha} z + \alpha}, \quad \alpha = 1 + \sqrt{2}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, 7$$

- the *Orientation* predicate has algebraic degree at most 20
- the *InCircle* predicate has algebraic degree at most 72

Point coordinates represented with `CORE::Expr`
$\longrightarrow$ (filtered) exact evaluation of predicates

Demo

Time to see the code in action!

Experiments

Fully dynamic implementation

1 million random points

- CGAL Euclidean DT (double) $\sim$ 1 sec.
- CGAL Euclidean DT (CORE::Expr) $\sim$ 13 sec.
- Hyperbolic periodic DT (CORE::Expr) $\sim$ 34 sec.

Experiments

Fully dynamic implementation

1 million random points

- CGAL Euclidean DT (double) ~ 1 sec.
- CGAL Euclidean DT (CORE::Expr) ~ 13 sec.
- Hyperbolic periodic DT (CORE::Expr) ~ 34 sec.

Predicates

- 0.76% calls to predicates involving translations in $\mathcal{N}$
- responsible for 36% of total time spent in predicates

Dummy points can be removed after insertion of 17–72 random points.

Outline

Future work

The goal is to

generalize

Future work

What:

- Algorithm for more general genus-2 surfaces
- Algorithm for surfaces of higher genus

How:

- Pants decomposition & F-N coordinates [Maskit, 2001]
- Octagonal fundamental domain [Aigon-Dupuy et al., 2005]





Future work

Issues:

- Surface representation
- Fundamental domain – Dirichlet or not?
- Generalize property of Delaunay triangles [IT17]
- Condition on something else rather than the systole?
- Canonical representative
- Choice of dummy points

The End

Thank you!

Source code and Maple sheets available online:

`https://members.loria.fr/Monique.Teillaud/DT_Bolza_SoCG17/`