# Communication Complexity for Multidimensional subshifts 

Towards Characterizing Soficness

E. Jeandel

LORIA (Nancy, France)

## Plan

## (2) Communication Complexity



## Sofic shifts in 1D


$L=\{\ldots$ aaaa $\ldots, \ldots$ aabaa $\ldots\}$

## SFTs and Sofic Shifts

## Definition

A subset $S \subseteq \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a sofic shift iff it is the set of biinfinite words corresponding to a domino system

## Definition

A subset $S \subseteq \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a sofic shift iff it is the set of biinfinite paths on some finite graph.

- $S$ is a "regular language" of infinite words.
- Can be described by a finite automaton.
- Sofic shifts are closed under union, intersection, etc and we can prove it with finite automata.


## Other definition

## Definition

A set $S$ of biinfinite words is a subshift if it can be defined by a set of forbidden words $\mathcal{F}$.

- $\mathcal{F}$ finite : $S$ is said to be of finite type (SFT)
- $\mathcal{F}$ regular : $S$ is sofic

Note : dominoes represent of shift of finite type (SFT). In fact sofic shifts can be defined as "projections" of SFTs.

## Sofic shifts in 2D



## Sofic shifts in 2D

- No notions of deterministic automata
- No characterizations of regular languages
- No algorithm to decide if a regular language is empty
- From automata to Turing machines

Nevertheless, we would like to have criteria to prove something is (not) sofic.

## How to prove soficness

How to prove something is sofic

- Usually by building the domino system.
- Ex : The set $S$ of configurations over $\{0,1\}$ where every finite connected component of 1 is of even size is sofic (Cassaigne).
Very few general statements.
- Every "substitutive" shift is sofic (reference depends on how to interpret the quotes)
- Everything expressed by a $\exists X \forall y$ formula is sofic (Jeandel-Theyssier)
- Aubrun-Sablik


## How to disprove soficness

Usually by proving that the set $S$ does not have a property shared by all sofic shifts.

- A sofic shift has a right-enumerable entropy (Hochman-Meyerovitch...)
- A sofic shift contains a configuration of "low" Kolmogorov complexity.


## Rationale here

- 2D sofic shifts are hard to understand
- 1D sofic shifts are easy to understand Look at 1D shifts inside 2D shifts.


## First approach

Let $S$ be a language of pictures for which all lines are identical. Let $S_{1}$ be the corresponding unidimensional language.

- When is $S$ sofic?


## Theorem (Durand-Romashchenko-Shen, Aubrun-Sablik 2010)

$S$ is sofic exactly when $S_{1}$ is effective (can be given by a computable family $\mathcal{F}$ of forbidden words)

## From 2D to 1D : second approach

Given a 1D language $S_{1}$ we look at the set of all pictures $S$ where every line is in $S_{1}$.

- No correlation between the different lines

We know of no example where $S$ is sofic but $S_{1}$ is not.

Conjecture : $S$ is sofic iff $S_{1}$ is.

In this talk: some advances towards this problem.

## Plan

## (1) Definitions

(2) Communication Complexity

(4) Conclusion

## The idea

- Divide the plane into two halfs.
- Give the first half to Almighty Alice, the second one to Almighty Bob.

How much information should they exchange to decide whether they would obtain a valid picture by putting the two halfs together?

| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |


| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |


| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
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## Protocol

If $S$ is sofic, there is a protocol that exchanges few bits :

- Alice decides on how to tile its part of the plane.
- Alice sends the boundary to Bob
- Bob checks if it can tile its part of the plane with the same boundary as Alice.
If Alice makes the good choice, this protocol will succeed (non deterministic protocol).


## First example

| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |

First example

| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | a | a |  |  |  |  |  |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a |  |  |  |  |  |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a |  |  |  |  |  |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
|  | a | a | a |  |  |  |  |

## First example



## First example

| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |

## First example

| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |

## First example

| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |

## Second example

| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |

## Second example

| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | b | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |

## Second example



## Second example

| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |

## Second example

| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | b | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |

## Second example

| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | b | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a |  | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a |  |  | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |

## Third example

| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |

## Third example

| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | b | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | b | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |

## Third example

| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | b | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a |  | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a |  | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | b | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |

## Communication Complexity

We now give formal definitions.

- We also symmetrize the protocol. Both Alice and Bob are given some boundary $x$, and they each verify that they can tile their half of the plane.
- To simplify things, we will only give to Alice and Bob the first $n$ columns of their half, and not the whole half.
- This means that Alice and Bob both have an element in a one-dimensional (vertical) subshift.


## Communication Complexity

## Definition

Let $S \subset A \times B$ be a subshift ( $A$ and $B$ are also subshifts)
A protocol for $S$ is three subshifts $X, P_{A}, P_{B}$ so that:

$$
(a, b) \in S \Longleftrightarrow \exists x \in X,(a, x) \in P_{A} \wedge(b, x) \in P_{B}
$$

- Alice has $a \in A$, obtains $x$ and tests whether $(a, x) \in P_{A}$
- Bob has $b \in B$, obtains $x$ and tests whether $(b, x) \in P_{B}$


## Communication Complexity

## Definition

The communication complexity $\operatorname{CC}(S)$ of a subshift $S$ is the infimum of $h(X)$ for a protocol $\left(X, P_{A}, P_{B}\right)$ for $S$.
$h(X)$ is the entropy of $X . h\left(\{0, \ldots k\}^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)=\log k$.

## Some trivial facts

- $C C(S) \leq h(A)$ (We can always send Alice's input to Bob)
- $C C(A \times B)=0$ (Nothing to transmit)

Let $S_{1}$ be any subshift and $E Q=\left\{(a, a) \mid a \in S_{1}\right\}$

$$
C C(E Q)=h\left(S_{1}\right)
$$

## Proof for EQ

Let $S_{1}$ be any subshift and $E Q=\left\{(a, a) \mid a \in S_{1}\right\}$

$$
C C(E Q)=h\left(S_{1}\right)
$$

- $C C(E Q) \leq h\left(S_{1}\right)$ is clear.

Let $\left(X, P_{A}, P_{B}\right)$ be a protocol for EQ.

- To each element $x \in X$ corresponds at most one element of $S_{1}$, wlog exactly one.
- We can prove that the map $X \rightarrow S_{1}$ is then a factor map
- Hence $h(X) \geq h\left(S_{1}\right)$.


## Strange example

$$
E Q_{: /}=(\{0,1\} \times\{0,1\})^{\mathbb{Z}} \cup\{(0,0),(1,1)\}^{-\omega} 2\{(0,0),(1,1)\}^{\omega}
$$

If Alice and Bob both have a 2 , they should have the same word.

$$
C C\left(E Q_{: /}\right)=0
$$

## Strange example

- If Alice has a 2, she sends all her information to Bob in a sparse way
- Alice has

$$
\cdots 0100101010210001010 \cdots
$$

- She sends
…0\#\#\#\#1\#\#\#O\#\#1\#021\#0\#\#O\#\#\#0\#\#\#\#1...
- Otherwise she sends ${ }^{\omega}{ }^{\omega} \omega$ (possibly with one $0 / 1$ symbol at some place)

Should this example be forbidden somehow?

## Plan

## (1) Definitions

(2) Communication Complexity
(3) CC in 2 D
4. Conclusion

## Multidimensional subshifts

## Proposition

Let $S$ be a two-dimensional subshift.
Let $C_{n}$ be the shift of $n$ consecutive columns of $S$.

$$
S_{n, m}=\left\{(a, b) \in C_{n} \times C_{m} \mid a b \in C_{n+m}\right\}
$$

If $S$ is sofic, then $C C\left(S_{n, m}\right)=O(1)$.

- This is "tight", in the sense that a similar proposition for 1D subshift characterize sofic subshifts.


## Special case $S$ is an SFT

## Theorem

if $S$ is a SFT, then $C C(S)$ is the infimum of $h(X)$ for finite type protocols ( $X, P_{A}, P_{B}$ of finite type)

Let $\left(X, P_{A}, P_{B}\right)$ a protocol.
We can suppose that $P_{A}$ and $P_{B}$ are SFTs :

- Let $P_{A}^{n}, P_{B}^{n}$ be upper approximations of $P_{A}$ and $P_{B}$ by forbidding only patterns of size $n$.
- We obtain a protocol for a upper approximation of $S$.
- As $S$ is defined by finitely many forbidden patterns, for some $n$, we will obtain exactly $S$.
Losing only $\epsilon$ in entropy, we can suppose that $X$ is sofic.
- $X^{\prime}=\left\{x \mid \forall(a, b) \in A \times B,(a, x) \in P_{A} \wedge(b, x) \in P_{B} \Longrightarrow(a, b) \in S\right\}$
- $X^{\prime} \supset X$ is sofic, and defines the same set $S$.
- We can make $X^{\prime}$ closer to $X$ in entropy while preserving soficness We can assume $X$ SFT by changing the protocol (every sofic shift is factor of a SFT of same entropy)


## Some notes

- The theorem does not work for sofic shifts : The previous "bad" example was sofic, but the protocol is not sofic.
- The proof does not work in higher dimensions.


## A corollary

## Definition

Let $\Sigma$ be a finite set, and $R \subseteq \Sigma \times \Sigma$
If we change subshift into finite set and $h(X)$ into $\log |X|$ into the previous definition, we obtain the communication complexity $N(R)$ of a relation.

## Theorem

Let $A=B=\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $S=R^{\mathbb{Z}}$.
Then CC $(S)=N^{\text {asymp }}(R)$ where $N^{\text {asymp }}(R)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N\left(R^{m}\right) / m$
$N^{\text {asymp }}(R)$ is well studied in Communication Complexity.

## The original question

Let's go back to the original question.
$S_{1}$ a 1D shift. $S$ a 2D shift where all lines are in $S$.

## Does $S$ sofic implies $S_{1}$ sofic?

What is $C_{n}$ (the set of $n$ columns of $S$ ) ?
By definition $C_{n}=L_{n}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $L_{n}$ is the set of words of size $n$ of $S_{1}$.

## New Result

## Theorem

Let $R_{n}=\left\{(x, y) \in L_{n} \mid x y \in L_{2 n}\right\}$
Then $C C\left(S_{n, n}\right) \geq N\left(R_{n}\right)-\log \log L_{n}+O(1)$ In particular, if $N\left(R_{n}\right)-\log \log L_{n} \neq O(1)$, then $S$ is not sofic.

Direct translation of a result about asymptotic communication complexity (Feder et al 91)

- If $N\left(R_{n}\right)>\log \log L_{n}+O(1), S$ is not sofic.
- If $N\left(R_{n}\right)=O(1), S_{1}$ is sofic.
- It remains to fill the gap.

Implies the result by Pavlov that if $S_{1}$ has no synchronizing word, then $S$ is not sofic.

## Plan

## (1) Definitions

(2) Communication Complexity

4. Conclusion

## Open questions

- Find more properties of $C C(S)$
- Is $C C(S)$ always achieved by some protocol?
- Link with conditional entropy?
- Look at the case where $A$ and $B$ are general zero-dimensional systems (we give the whole half to Alice and Bob)
- Translate lower bounds from finite CC into results on shifts.


## An example

## Theorem

$N(R)=\max _{\mu} \min _{R_{1} \times R_{2} \subseteq R}-\log \mu\left(R_{1} \times R_{2}\right)$

